

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</b>	<b>:</b>	<b>CRIMINAL NO.: 04-___</b>
<b>v.</b>	<b>:</b>	<b>DATE FILED: December 6, 2004</b>
<b>JOSEPH V. CORROPOLESE</b>	<b>:</b>	<b>VIOLATIONS:</b>
	<b>:</b>	<b>26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) (filing false</b>
		<b>tax returns – 4 counts);</b>
		<b>Notice of additional factors.</b>

**I N F O R M A T I O N**

**COUNT ONE**

**THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES THAT:**

On or about April 23, 2000, at Norristown, in the Eastern District of  
Pennsylvania, defendant

**JOSEPH V. CORROPOLESE**

willfully made and subscribed a joint return, United States income tax Form 1040, for the calendar year 1998, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Director, Internal Revenue Service Center, at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, which defendant CORROPOLESE did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income as \$67,885, when in fact, as defendant CORROPOLESE well knew, his true adjusted gross income was at least approximately \$228,618.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

## **COUNT TWO**

### **THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

On or about April 15, 2000, at Norristown, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

#### **JOSEPH V. CORROPOLESE**

willfully made and subscribed a joint return, United States income tax Form 1040, for the calendar year 1999, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Director, Internal Revenue Service Center, at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, which defendant CORROPOLESE did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income as \$47,269, when in fact, as defendant CORROPOLESE well knew, his true adjusted gross income was at least approximately \$251,623.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

**COUNT THREE**

**THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

On or about January 24, 2002, at Norristown, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

**JOSEPH V. CORROPOLESE**

willfully made and subscribed a joint return, United States income tax Form 1040, for the calendar year 2000, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Director, Internal Revenue Service Center, at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, which defendant CORROPOLESE did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income as \$53,741, when in fact, as defendant CORROPOLESE well knew, his true adjusted gross income was at least approximately \$260,421.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

---

**COUNT FOUR**

**THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

On or about May 30, 2003, at Norristown, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

**JOSEPH V. CORROPOLESE**

willfully made and subscribed a joint return, United States income tax Form 1040, for the calendar year 2001, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury and filed with the Director, Internal Revenue Service Center, at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, which defendant CORROPOLESE did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported adjusted gross income as \$43,385, when in fact, as defendant CORROPOLESE well knew, his true adjusted gross income was at least approximately \$201,859.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).

**NOTICE OF ADDITIONAL FACTORS**

**THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. In committing the offenses charged in Counts One through Four of this information, defendant **JOSEPH V. CORROPOLESE**:

- a. Willfully obstructed and impeded and attempted to obstruct and impede, the administration of justice during the course of the investigation and prosecution of the instant offense of conviction, and the obstructive conduct related to the defendant's offense of conviction and any relevant conduct, as described in U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1.
- b. Committed offenses in which the tax loss exceeded \$200,000, as described in U.S.S.G. § 2T3.1(a)(1).

3. In committing the additional offense agreed to pursuant to U.S.S.G. §1B1.2, defendant **JOSEPH V. CORROPOLESE**:

- a. Committed an offense involving more than one act, as described in U.S.S.G. § 2C1.1(b)(1);
- b. Received a benefit of more than \$400,000, as described in U.S.S.G. §§ 2C1.1(b)(2)(A) and 2F1.1(b)(1)(J).

---

PATRICK L. MEEHAN  
United States Attorney